## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

MICHELLE MORELLI, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

Plaintiff,

Case No.: 8:22-cv-00292-GJH v.

JIM KOONS MANAGEMENT COMPANY, dba JIM KOONS AUTOMOTIVE COMPANIES,

Defendant.

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Before the Court is Plaintiff's unopposed motion requesting that the Court enter an Order granting Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement involving Plaintiff Michelle Morelli and Bianca Wenck, and Iris Perez (hereinafter "Class Representatives") and Defendant Jim Koons Management Company, dba Jim Koons Automotive Companies ("Defendant" or "Koons"), as fair, reasonable and adequate, awarding attorneys' fees and expenses to Class Counsel as outlined herein, and awarding service awards to Plaintiff as detailed below.

Having reviewed and considered the Settlement Agreement and the motions for final approval of the settlement, an award of attorneys' fees, expenses, and service awards to the Plaintiff, and having conducted a final approval hearing, the Court makes the findings and grants the relief set forth below approving the Settlement upon the terms and conditions set forth in this Order.

WHEREAS, on October 26, 2022, the Court entered a Preliminary Approval Order which among other things: (a) conditionally certified this matter as a class action, including defining the classes and class claims, appointing Plaintiff Morelli, Ms. Wenck, and Ms. Perez as Settlement Class Representatives, and appointing as Settlement Class Counsel David K. Lietz of Milberg Coleman Bryson Phillips Grossman, PLLC; and Terence R. Coates of Markovits Stock DeMarco, LLC; (b) preliminarily approved the Settlement Agreement; (c) approved the form and manner of Notice to the Settlement Class; (d) set deadlines for opt-outs and objections; (e) approved and appointed the claims administrator; and (f) set the date for the Final Fairness Hearing;

WHEREAS, on November 23, 2022, pursuant to the Notice requirements set forth in the Settlement Agreement and in the Preliminary Approval Order, the Settlement Class was notified of the terms of the proposed Settlement Agreement, of the right of Settlement Class Members to opt-out, and the right of Settlement Class Members to object to the Settlement Agreement and to be heard at a Final Approval Hearing:

WHEREAS, on February 24, 2023 at 9:30 a.m., the Court held a Final Approval Hearing to determine, *inter alia*: (1) whether the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate for the release of the claims contemplated by the Settlement Agreement; and (2) whether judgment should be entered dismissing this action with prejudice. Prior to the Final Approval Hearing, a declaration of compliance with the provisions of the Settlement Agreement and Preliminary Approval Order relating to notice was filed with the Court as required by the Preliminary Approval Order. Therefore, the Court is satisfied that Settlement Class Members were properly notified of their right to appear at the final approval hearing in support of or in opposition to the proposed Settlement Agreement, the award of attorneys' fees

and costs to Settlement Class Counsel, and the payment of Service Awards to the Class Representatives;

WHEREAS, the Court's not being required to conduct a trial on the merits of the case or determine with certainty the factual and legal issues in dispute when determining whether to approve a proposed class action settlement; and

WHEREAS, the Court's being required under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e) to make the findings and conclusions hereinafter set forth for the limited purpose of determining whether the settlement should be approved as being fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class;

Having given an opportunity to be heard to all requesting persons in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order, having heard the presentation of Settlement Class Counsel and counsel for Defendant, having reviewed all of the submissions presented with respect to the proposed Settlement Agreement, having determined that the Settlement Agreement is fair, adequate, and reasonable, having considered the application made by Settlement Class Counsel for attorneys' fees and costs and expenses, and the application for Service Awards to the Class Representatives, and having reviewed the materials in support thereof, and good cause appearing:

## IT IS ORDERED that:

- The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over all claims raised therein and all Parties thereto, including the Settlement Class.
- 2. The Settlement involves allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint and Jury Demand against Defendant for failure to implement or maintain adequate data security measures for the sensitive information of current and former employment applicants, employees, and

customers, which Plaintiff alleges directly and proximately caused injuries to Plaintiff and Settlement Class Members.

- 3. The Settlement does not constitute an admission of liability by Defendant, and the Court expressly does not make any finding of liability or wrongdoing by Defendant.
- 4. Unless otherwise noted, words spelled in this Order with initial capital letters have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 5. The Court, having reviewed the terms of the Settlement Agreement submitted by the parties pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e)(2), grants final approval of the Settlement Agreement and for purposes of the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order and Judgment only, the Court hereby finally certifies the following Settlement Class:
  - All persons to whom Jim Koons Management Company sent a "Notice of Security" letter dated on or about January 14, 2022 regarding the Data Security Incident.
- 6. The Settlement was entered into in good faith following arm's length negotiations and is non-collusive. The Settlement is in the best interests of the Settlement Class and is therefore approved. The Court finds that the Parties faced significant risks, expenses, delays and uncertainties, including as to the outcome, including on appeal, of continued litigation of this complex matter, which further supports the Court's finding that the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members. The Court finds that the uncertainties of continued litigation in both the trial and appellate courts, as well as the expense associated with it, weigh in favor of approval of the settlement reflected in the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. The Settlement Agreement provides, in part, and subject to a more detailed description of the settlement terms in that Agreement, for:

- A. Defendant to institute a Settlement Claims Administration as outlined in the Settlement Agreement whereby Settlement Class Members can submit claims that will be evaluated by a Claims and Settlement Administrator mutually agreed upon by Settlement Class Counsel and Defendant.
- B. Defendant to pay all costs of Claims Administration and Settlement Administration, including the cost of the Claims and Settlement Administrator, instituting Notice, processing and administering claims, and preparing and mailing checks.
- C. Defendant to pay, subject to the approval and award of the Court, the reasonable attorneys' fees of Settlement Class Counsel and service awards to the Representative Plaintiffs.

The Court readopts and incorporates herein by reference its preliminary conclusions as to the satisfaction of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order (ECF 31) and notes that because this certification of the Settlement Class is in connection with the Settlement Agreement rather than litigation, the Court need not address any issues of manageability that may be presented by certification of the class proposed in the Settlement Agreement.

- 8. The terms of the Settlement Agreement are fair, adequate, and reasonable and are hereby approved, adopted, and incorporated by the Court. Notice of the terms of the Settlement, the rights of Settlement Class Members under the Settlement, Final Approval Hearing, the application for attorneys' fees and costs and expenses, and the proposed service award payments to the Class Representatives have been provided to Settlement Class Members as directed by this Court's Orders, and proof of Notice has been filed with the Court.
- 9. The Court finds that such Notice as therein ordered, was the best possible notice practicable under the circumstances and constitutes valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Settlement Class Members in compliance with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(c)(2)(B).

10. The Court finds that Defendant has fully complied with the notice requirements of the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1715.

Case 8:22-cv-00292-GJH

- 11. As of the Opt-Out deadline, nine potential Settlement Class Members have requested to be excluded from the Settlement. Their names are set forth in **Exhibit A** to this Order. Those persons are not bound by this Order, as set forth in the Settlement Order.
- 12. The Court has considered all the documents filed in support of the Settlement, and has fully considered all matters raised, all exhibits and affidavits filed, all evidence received at the final hearing, all other papers and documents comprising the record herein, and all oral arguments presented to the Court.
- 13. The parties, their respective attorneys, and the Claims Administrator are hereby directed to consummate the settlement in accordance with this Order and the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Defendant, the Claims Administrator, and Class Counsel shall implement the settlement in the manner and time frame as set forth therein.
- 15. Within the time period set forth in the Settlement Agreement, the relief provided for in the Settlement Agreement shall be made available to the various Settlement Class Members submitting valid Claim Forms, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement.
- 16. Pursuant to and as further described in the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Members release claims as follows:

Upon the Effective Date, Plaintiff named in this Settlement Agreement and Release and every Settlement Class Member (except those who timely optout), for themselves, their attorneys, spouses, beneficiaries, executors, representatives, heirs, successors, and assigns, in consideration of the relief set forth in the Settlement Agreement, fully and finally release Koons, its parents, subsidiaries, and affiliates, and all of their present and former

officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, representatives, insurers, and legal representatives from any and all claims or causes of action, whether known or unknown, that concern, refer or relate to (a) the Data Security Incident; and (b) all other claims arising out of the Data Security Incident that were asserted, or that could have been asserted, in the Lawsuits. The claims released in this paragraph are referred to as the "Released Claims," and the parties released are referred to as the "Released Parties."

Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Members waive any principles of law similar to and including Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Members agree that Section 1542 and all similar federal or state laws, rules, or legal principles of any other jurisdiction are knowingly and voluntarily waived in connection with the claims released in the Settlement Agreement, and agree that this is an essential term of the Settlement Agreement. Plaintiff and the Settlement Class Members acknowledge that they may later discover claims presently unknown or suspected, or facts in addition to or different from those which they now believe to be true with respect to the matters released in the Settlement Agreement. Nevertheless, Plaintiff and Settlement Class Members fully, finally, and forever settle and release the Released Claims against the Released Parties.

Released Claims does not include the right of any Settlement Class Member or any of the Released Parties to enforce the terms of the Class Settlement Agreement and shall not include any claims of Settlement Class Members who have timely excluded themselves from the Settlement Class.

17. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, and in recognition of their efforts on behalf of the Settlement Class, the Court approves payments to Class Representatives in the total amount of \$2,500 each as a service award for their efforts on behalf of the Settlement Class. Class

- Counsel shall make such payment in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 18. The Court affirms the appointment of David K. Lietz of Milberg Coleman Bryson Phillips Grossman, PLLC; and Terence R. Coates of Markovits Stock DeMarco, LLC as Settlement Class Counsel, and finds that they have adequately represented the interests of the Settlement Class.
- 19. The Court, after careful review of the fee petition filed by Settlement Class Counsel, and after applying the appropriate standards required by relevant case law, hereby grants Settlement Class Counsel's application for combined attorneys' fees and expenses in the amount of \$265,000. Payment shall be made pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 20. This Final Approval Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement, and all acts, statements, documents, or proceedings relating to the Settlement Agreement are not, and shall not be construed as, used as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission by or against Defendant of any claim, any fact alleged in the Litigation, any fault, any wrongdoing, any violation of law, or any liability of any kind on the part of Defendant or of the validity or certifiability for litigation of any claims that have been, or could have been, asserted in the action. This Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Settlement Agreement, and all acts, statements, documents or proceedings relating to the Settlement Agreement shall not be offered or received or be admissible in evidence in any action or proceeding, or be used in any way as an admission or concession or evidence of any liability or wrongdoing of any nature or that Plaintiff, any Settlement Class Member, or any other person has suffered any damage; provided, however, that the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order

and Judgment may be filed in any action by Defendant, Settlement Class Counsel, or Settlement Class Members seeking to enforce the Settlement Agreement or the Final Approval Order and Judgment (including but not limited to enforcing the releases contained herein). The Settlement Agreement and Final Approval Order and Judgment shall not be construed or admissible as an admission by Defendant that Plaintiff's claims or any similar claims are suitable for class treatment. The Settlement Agreement's terms shall be forever binding on, and shall have res judicate and preclusive effect in, all pending and future lawsuits or other proceedings as to Released Claims and other prohibitions set forth in this Final Approval Order and Judgment that are maintained by, or on behalf of, any Settlement Class Member or any other person subject to the provisions of this Final Approval Order and Judgment.

21. If the Effective Date, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, does not occur for any reason, this Final Approval Order and Judgment and the Preliminary Approval Order shall be deemed vacated and shall have no force and effect whatsoever; the Settlement Agreement shall be considered null and void; all of the Parties' obligations under the Settlement Agreement, the Preliminary Approval Order, and this Final Approval Order and Judgment and the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement shall have no further force and effect with respect to the Parties and shall not be used in the Litigation or in any other proceeding for any purpose, and any judgment or order entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement shall be treated as vacated nunc pro tunc, and the Parties shall be restored to their respective positions in the Litigation, as if the Parties had never entered into the Settlement Agreement (without prejudice to the Parties' respective positions on the issue of class certification or any other issue). Further,

in such event, the Parties will jointly request that all scheduled Litigation deadlines be reasonably extended by the Court, so as to avoid prejudice to either Party or Party's counsel.

- 22. Pursuant to the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651, this Court shall retain the authority to issue any order necessary to protect its jurisdiction from any action, whether in state or federal court.
- 23. Without affecting the finality of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Court will retain jurisdiction over the subject matter and the Parties with respect to the interpretation and implementation of the Settlement Agreement for all purposes.
- 24. This Order resolves all claims asserted in this action and is a final order.
- 25. The matter is hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs except as provided in the Settlement Agreement.

Dated: 2/24/2023

HONORABLE GEORGE J. HAZEL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE